WCRP strategy

Scientific objectives

1. Fundamental understanding of the climate system

- Climate dynamics
- Reservoirs and flows

2. Prediction of the near-term evolution of the climate system

- Simulation capabilities
- Predicting extreme events

3. Future evolution of the climate system

Simulation capabilities

4. Bridging climate science and society

- Interactions with social systems
- Engaging with society



International Science Council



UK Met Office

NAO





- Regression of tropical rainfall in 4 boxes (dashed) explains most of forecast NAO (solid)
- Potentially explains forecast bust in 2004/5 model ignored tropical rainfall signal?

Correlation between initial wind and forecast NAO



Scaife et al 2016, 2018; Nie et al, ERL, 2019

European summer rainfall



Unprecedented extremes



Chance of unprecedented hot months in South East China





"Silk road" pattern, likely driven by Indian monsoon rainfall

High atmosphere resolution (25 km)

Eddy feedback on climatological jet



Skill is insensitive to a doubling of resolution

Eddy feedback is weak in models but increases at ~10km resolution

New hypothesis: the signal to noise paradox due to a lack of small scale eddy feedback

Scaife et al, in revision



Real world response to sea ice? Cannot be diagnosed from obs alone



• The pattern is likely forced by SSTs rather than sea ice in AMIP simulations

Non-robust response: full range of NAO responses have been reported



• Negative NAO (DJF, mslp, hPa)

• Deser et al 2016; Honda et al 2009; Seierstad and Bader 2009; Mori et al 2014; Kim et al 2014; Nakamura et al 2015 ...

Little NAO response

• Screen et al. 2013; Petrie et al 2015; Blackport and Kushner 2016 ...



Positive NAO

• Screen et al 2014; Singarayer et al 2006; Strey et al 2010; Orsolini et al 2012; Rinke et al 2013; Cassano et al 2014 ...

NAO response that depends on the forcing

• Alexander et al 2004; Petoukhov and Semenov 2010; Peings and Magnusdottir 2014; Sun et al. 2015; Pedersen et al 2016; Chen et al 2016 ...

Atmosphere vs coupled models





Response depends on pattern of forcing



Dependence on background state



- Different response could be caused by coupling or background state (model bias)
- Test by repeating atmosphere model but imposing COUPLED SST bias \rightarrow AMIP_CPLD
- Reproduces COUPLED response → background state is key

Atmosphere model

Emergent constraint?



- Cannot trust model response if S/N ratio too small
- Response depends on wave propagation, and hence refractive index
- Observations (grey shading) closer to CPLD than AMIP, supporting -ve NAO response
- Need more models \rightarrow coordinated multi-model experiments (PAMIP)
- Must understand the physical mechanism

PAMIP experiments (1)

No.	Experiment name	Description	Notes	Tier	Start year	Number of years	Minimum ensemble size	
1. Atr	mosphere-only tin	ne slice experiments						
1.1	pdSST-pdSIC	Time slice forced by climatological monthly mean SST and SIC for the present day (pd) ^{1,2}	Present-day SST and SIC	1	2000	12	100	1.1 Present day SST and SIC
1.2	piSST-piSIC	Time slice forced by climatological monthly mean SST and SIC for pre- industrial (pi) conditions ³	Pre-industrial SST and SIC	2	2000	1	100	
1.3	piSST-pdSIC	Time slice forced by pi SST and pd ${\rm SIC}^3$	Different SST relative to 1.1 to investigate the	1	2000	1	100	
1.4	futSST-pdSIC	Time slice forced by pd SIC and fu- ture SST representing 2° global warming (fut) ³	 role of SSTs in polar amplification 	2	2000	I	100	
1.5	pdSST- piArcSIC	Time slice forced by pd SST and pi $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Arctic}}\xspace$ SIC 3	Different Arctic SIC relative to 1.1 to in- vestigate the impacts of present-day and future	1	2000	1	100	
1.6	pdSST- futArcSIC	Time slice forced by pd SST and fut $\mbox{Arctic}\ \mbox{SIC}^3$	 Arctic sea ice and the role of Arctic SIC in polar amplification 	1	2000	1	100	1.6 Future Arctic SIC
1.7	pdSST- piAntSIC	Time slice forced by pd SST and pi Antarctic SIC ³	Different Antarctic SIC relative to 1.1 to in- vestigate the impacts of present-day and future	1	2000	1	100	
1.8	pdSST- futAntSIC	Time slice forced by pd SST and fut Antarctic ${\rm SIC}^3$	Antarctic sea ice and the role of Antarctic SIC in polar amplifica- tion	1	2000	1	100	
1.9	pdSST- pdSICSIT	Time slice forced by pd sea ice thickness (SIT) in addition to SIC and SST	Investigate the impacts of sea ice thickness changes	3	2000	1	100	
1.10	pdSST- futArcSICSIT	Time slice forced by pd SST and fut Arctic SIC and SIT	Investigate the impacts of sea ice thickness changes	3	2000	I	100	
2. Co	upled ocean-atmo	sphere time slice experiments						
2.1	pa-pdSIC	Coupled time slice constrained by pd SIC ^{2,4,5}		2	2000	1	100	2.1 Present day SST and SIC
2.2	pa-piArcSIC	Coupled time slice with pi Arctic SIC^3	As 1.5 and 1.6 but with coupled model	2	2000	1	100	
2.3	pa-futArcSIC	Coupled time slice with fut ArcticSIC ³	-	2	2000	1	100	2.3 Coupled future Arctic SIC

Tier 1, atmosphere only

PAMIP experiments (2)

No.	Experiment name	Description	Notes	Tier	Start year	Number of years	Minimum ensemble size
2.4	pa-piAntSIC	Coupled time slice with pi Antarctic SIC ³	As 1.7 and 1.8 but with coupled model	2	2000	1	100
2.5	pa-futAntSIC	Coupled time slice with fut Antarctic SIC^3	•	2	2000	1	100
3. At	mosphere-only tin	ne slice experiments to investigate region	al forcing				
3.1	pdSST- futOkhotskSIC	Time slice forced by pd SST and fut Arctic SIC only in the Sea of Okhotsk	Investigate how the at- mospheric response de-	3	2000	1	100
3.2	pdSST- futBKSeasSIC	Time slice forced by pd SST and fut Arctic SIC only in the Barents/Kara seas	pends on the pattern of Arctic sea ice forcing	3	2000	1	100
4. At	mosphere-only tin	ne slice experiments to investigate the rol	e of the background state				
4.1	modelSST- pdSIC	Time slice forced by pd SIC and pd SST from coupled model (2.1) rather than observations	In conjunction with ex- periments 1 and 2, iso- late the effects of the	3	2000	1	100
4.2	modelSST- futArcSIC	Time slice forced by fut Arctic SIC and pd SST from coupled model (2.1) rather than observations	background state from the effects of coupling	3	2000	1	100
5. At	mosphere-only tra	nsient experiments					
5.1	amip- climSST	Repeat CMIP6 AMIP (1979–2014) but with climatological monthly mean SST	Use CMIP6 AMIP as the control; investigate transient response indi-	3	1979	36	3
5.2	amip-climSIC	Repeat CMIP6 AMIP (1979–2014) but with climatological monthly mean SIC	vidual years and the contributions of SST and SIC to recent climate changes	3	1979	36	3
6. Co	upled ocean-atmo	sphere extended experiments					
6.1	pa-pdSIC-ext	Coupled model extended simulation constrained with pd sea ice ^{4.6}	Experiments to investi- gate the decadal and	3	2000	100	1
6.2	pa-fut ArcSIC-ext	Coupled model extended simulation constrained with fut Arctic sea ice ^{4,6}	longer impacts of Arc- tic and Antarctic sea ice	3	2000	100	1
6.3	pa-fut AntSIC-ext	Coupled model extended simulation constrained with fut Antarctic sea ice ^{4,6}	•	3	2000	100	1







Multi-Model Results DJF

Local surface warming

Different responses in upper atmosphere

Equatorward shift of jet MO jet shift in S hemi



DCPP and **GC-NTCP**

Skill: years 2-9: NAO (annual)



• Signal is somewhat similar to observations (increase from 1960s to 1990s, slight decrease thereafter)

- Predicted signal has very small amplitude → MSSS positive but not significant
- Correlation is significant (r = 0.49, p = 0.02)
- Skill is much higher with observations than with individual model members \rightarrow RPC > 6

Ratio of predictable components (RPC): years 2-9



- RPC > 1 in many regions
- Especially for rainfall and pressure
- Signal to noise problem is widespread on decadal timescales
- Should not look for model agreement! skill is in the ensemble mean

Smith et al, 2019

Impact of initialisation: subpolar gyre temperature, years 2-9, JJA



- Very high correlations for both initialised (Init r = 0.97) and uninitialized (Unin r = 0.94)
- Difference in correlations is not significant
- But residuals are significantly correlated (r = 0.69, p = 0.05)
- Initialised predictions capture some of the variability that is missing from uninitialized simulations \rightarrow more powerful test

Smith et al, 2019

Impact of initialisation: temperature, years 2-9,JJA



- Improvement from initialisation is much clearer in correlation of residuals
- Impacts now seen over some land areas, including Europe

Skill and impact of initialisation:

years 2-9

• Residuals may be correlated but represent only a small fraction of total variance

• Compute ratio of predicted signal due to initialisation divided by total predicted signal: $r'\sigma'/r\sigma$

Total skill (a) Temperature



(c) Precipitation

Impact of initialisation (b) Temperature





- High skill for temperature
- Significant skill for rainfall over land in many regions
- Significant skill for pressure (except Indian Ocean, Africa, eastern South Atlantic – problem with initialisation?)
- Significant improvements from initialisation







0.0

0.3

0.6

0.9

-0.9

-0.6

-0.3

(f) Pressure





Smith et al, 2019

Internal variability or external forcing?

Initialised

Total skill

Uninitialized

(a) Temperature



 Patterns of skill are captured by uninitialized simulations

 Initialisation mainly improving the response to external forcings?



(c) Precipitation



(e) Pressure



(c) Precipitation



(e) Pressure



Smith et al, 2019

Future plans - DCPP

- Coordinate analysis of CMIP6
 - Compare hindcast skill with CMIP5, assess extreme event predictions
 - Component C "understanding" experiments (AMV, PDV → teleconnections, storm tracks, Sahel, aerosols, Mediterranean,...)
 - Volcano experiments
- new Earth System decadal predictions
- Contribute to global stocktake
- Run new forecasts if volcano erupts





GC-NTCP

WMO operational decadal predictions

WMO Lead Centre for Annual-to-Decadal Climate Prediction

The Met Office is a designated Lead Centre for Annual-to-Decadal Climate Prediction (LC-ADCP). The LC-ADCP collects and provides hindcasts, forecasts and verification data from a number contributing centres worldwide.





- Lead centre for annual-to-decadal climate prediction
 - ➢ Met Office
- 4 global producing centres
 - ➤ BSC
 - ≻ DWD
 - Environment Canada
 - ➢ Met Office
- www.wmolc-adcp.org

nature climate change

PERSPECTIVE https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0359-7

Towards operational predictions of the near-term climate

- Sets out the case for operational decadal predictions
- Kushnir et al 2019





Annual-to-decadal climate update

Future plans – GC-NTCP

- This year
 - Finish website development
 - Issue first Annual-to-Decadal Climate Update
 - Decadal session Fall AGU/WCRP Science Week
- Afterwards
 - Standards, verification methods and guidance for operational near-term predictions
 - Continued issuance of Annual-to-Decadal Climate Update including uncertainty, skill estimates
 - Focus on developing users, or wrap up having achieved main goals?



Decadal lab

Models are imperfect: Dealing with model bias



Bias correction

(Smith et al. 2013)