ENVIROMIS-2010, 7 July, Tomsk, Russia

V.M.Stepanenko¹, E.E.Machulskaya^{1,2}, and M.V.Glagolev^{3,4}

Moscow State University, Research Computing Center
 Deutscher Wetterdienst
 Moscow State University, Faculty of Soil Science
 University of Yugra, Chanty-Mansiisk

Numerical modelling of methane emissions from thermokarst lakes

The work is supported by grants: RFBR 09-05-13562-офи_ц, 09-05-00379-а, 10-05-00981-а, П№1394

Atmospheric methane and its sources



Emission of methane by thermokarst lakes



thermokarst lakes in Northern Siberia
occupy
22-48%
of the area
satellite images
indicate expanding
of thermokarst
lakes area







Unfreezing "hotspot" – the source of methane during wintertime

 8 - 50% of anthropogenic emissions in XXI century depending on IPCC scenario (K. Walter et al., 2006, Nature)

Implication to climate change and climate modeling • Positive feedback:

Climate warming

Thermokarst development, expansion of lakes



Increase of methane fluxes from thermokarst lakes

We need a modeling tool, a parameterization of thermokarst lakes' emissions in climate models

Methane emission: bogs and lakes

Mechanism of methane production

- On **bogs** the substrate for methane production comes from surface NPP -> <u>modeling approaches</u> <u>are well developed</u>
- In lakes methane is produced (i) from lake bottom NPP and (ii) from the old organics, that has been sequestered in permafrost and comes to positive temperature region while talik is deepening -> <u>the</u> need for new parameterization

Implication to annual cycle

- On bogs cold season emission is very low;
- In lakes methane is produced in talik, that is under positive temperatures all year round (<u>40-50% of</u> <u>annual emission happen in cold period</u>)

Methane concentration in lake talik

$$\frac{\partial \left[CH_{4}\right]}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} k_{CH_{4},m} \frac{\partial \left[CH_{4}\right]}{\partial z} + P - E - \mathbf{X}$$
(B. Walter & Heimann, 1996, 2001)

Neglected: vegetation transport F

Ebullition:

 $E = k_e f_{step} \left(\Delta \left[CH_4 \right] \right) \Delta \left[CH_4 \right],$ $\Delta \left[CH_4 \right] = \left[CH_4 \right] - \left[CH_4 \right]_{max}$

Production:

 $P = P_{new} + P_{old}$



 $P_{new} = P_{new,0} \exp(-\alpha_{new}z) f_{step}(T) q_{00}^{T/10} \qquad P_{new,0} - \text{calibrated}$ parameter

Methane production from old organics decomposition

- happens only under positive temperatures
- is exponentially dependent on temperature
- is proportional to decomposable organics content

$$P_{old} = P_{old,0}^* C_{old} f_{step} (T) q_{00}^{1/10} P_{old,0}^* \text{ calibrated parameter}$$

Michaelis-Menthen equation for decomposition (1)

$$\frac{\partial C_{old}}{\partial t} = -\frac{V_{C,\max}C_{old}}{\alpha_C + C_{old}},$$
$$C_{old} = f(t, t_0, \alpha_C, V_{C,\max})$$

Analytical law for talik deepening (2)

$$\Rightarrow z = C_t \sqrt{t_0}, h_t = C_t \sqrt{t}$$

Combining (1) and (2) yields

$$C = C_{old,0} \left(2 + \lambda_C - \sqrt{\left(1 + \lambda_C\right)^2 + 2\gamma_C C_t^{-2} \left(h_t^2 - z^2\right)} \right)$$

Methane transfer in the water body



One-dimensional k-ε model (LAKE)

Heat equation

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{1}{c_p \rho} \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{A} \int_{\Gamma_A} {r \cdot r \choose u \cdot n} T dl$$

Momentum equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} k_M \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + fv - g \cdot tg \alpha_x - C_{veg} u \sqrt{u^2 + v^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} k_M \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} - fu - g \cdot tg \alpha_y - C_{veg} v \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$$

K-ε turbulence closure

Validation: sediments temperature

Case study: Lake Shuchi

 Time series of atmospheric variables as input to lake model are extracted from ERA-Interim reanalysis

Model calibration: Lake Shuchi

Model validation

Observations: **Lake Shuchi** (K. Walter et al., 2006) hourly observations of ebullition and diffusion methane fluxes in different lake sections for 2003 – 2004

	Annual methane emission, mg/ (m ² *yr)	A part of open- water period emission, %	A part of ice- covered period emission, %
Observations	22658	54	46
Model	22588	54	46

	Open water period	Ice-covered period
A part of young methane in emissions (observations), %	47	6
A part of young methane in net generation (model), %	61	32

Remarks on lake methane model

- The values of calibrated parameters depend on errors (lack of observations!) of input parameters: lake depth, water turbidity, atmospheric forcing, etc.
- The model should be verified on a significant number of thermokarst lakes
- The model does not consider thermokarst lake development (deepening, drainage, etc.)

Regional atmospheric model NH3D_MPI

Atmospheric 3D dynamics in σ-coordinates, methane transport and chemistry

Snow

lce

UN

H,LE

Water

Soil

LAKE model with methane block

PE, E

Land surface model of INM: 1. Soil (including permafrost) 2. Vegetation 3. Snow cover 4. Walter and Heimann methane model for bogs 5. A set of models for oxic soils carbon cycling

horizontal spacing 1-10 km

- 30 levels in vertical
 - time step 5-10 s

 parallel implementation using MPI

Research perspectives

- Inverse modeling of atmospheric methane transport on a regional scale to (i) identify sources (ii) validate and calibrate land surface methane models (bogs and lakes) using measurements of atmospheric methane concentration Incorporation of lake methane model in regional and global climate models to
 - assess regional feedback between climate change and thermokarst lakes and its global significance